

A system dynamics model of city hubs A case study in Stockholm

Claudia Andruetto Integrated Transport Research Lab, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden 25 October 2023



ITRL – INTEGRATED TRANSPORT RESEARCH LAB



Context





ITRL – INTEGRATED TRANSPORT RESEARCH LAB



City hubs as one possible solution

a) System without city hubs

b) System with city hubs





Problem formulation

City hubs are a possible solution to the negative externalities of the urban logistics system

However, city hubs have not so far been exploited to their potential.

What policies/decisions could help the implementation of city hubs?



ITRL – INTEGRATED TRANSPORT RESEARCH LAB



Modelling the transition

b) System with city hubs a) System without city hubs Receivers Receivers LSP A LSP A **Distribution hub Distribution hub** 0 00 0 0 00 0 0 00 0 LSP B LSP B **Distribution hub Distribution hub** City hub



ITRL – INTEGRATED TRANSPORT RESEARCH LAB



ERICSSON

System dynamics method



ITRL – INTEGRATED TRANSPORT RESEARCH LAB



Model structure

demand and behaviors

- Demand in the area •
- Number of actors in the area •
 - Number of LSPs •
 - Number of receivers •
- Number of packages → ~ 25000 packages/month







Model structure





ITRL – INTEGRATED TRANSPORT RESEARCH LAB



Model structure





ITRL – INTEGRATED TRANSPORT RESEARCH LAB





ITRL – INTEGRATED TRANSPORT RESEARCH LAB



Reductions with hub



ITRL – INTEGRATED TRANSPORT RESEARCH LAB



Scenarios





ITRL – INTEGRATED TRANSPORT RESEARCH LAB



Scenario 1 – LSP



2

ERICSSON

Region Stockholm



TRAFIKVERKET



ITRL – INTEGRATED TRANSPORT RESEARCH LAB



Scenario 1 – LSP







ITRL – INTEGRATED TRANSPORT RESEARCH LAB



Scenario 1 – LSP







ITRL – INTEGRATED TRANSPORT RESEARCH LAB



Scenario 2 - Receivers

Final receiver fees

- Small:
- Medium:
- Large:

992 kr/month

- 5700 kr/month
- 18000 kr/month





ITRL – INTEGRATED TRANSPORT RESEARCH LAB





ITRL – INTEGRATED TRANSPORT RESEARCH LAB



Scenario 3 – LSPs and Receivers

Input data

Advertisement towards LSP	10% effectiveness	
Initial LSP fee	0 kr/month	
Advertisement towards receivers	10% effectiveness	۵.
Initial receivers fee	0 kr/month	LS



Final receiver fees

- Small: 563
- Medium:
- Large:

•

- 563 kr/month 3240 kr/month
- 10200 kr/month



Cost per LSP Base scenario [Medium]

Monetary value [Medium]



ITRL – INTEGRATED TRANSPORT RESEARCH LAB



Scenario 4 – LSPs and Receivers

Input data

Advertisement towards LSP	10% effectiveness	
Initial LSP fee	0 kr/month	
Advertisement towards receivers	10% effectiveness	<u>c</u>
Initial receivers fee	0 kr/month	LS LS

Different assumption: The LSP know about the cost reduction without hub



cost without hub < cost with hub



ITRL – INTEGRATED TRANSPORT RESEARCH LAB



Scenario 4 – LSPs and Receivers

Input data

Advertisement towards LSP	10% effectiveness	
Initial LSP fee	0 kr/month	
Advertisement towards receivers	10% effectiveness	۵.
Initial receivers fee	0 kr/month	LS LS

Different assumption: The LSP know about the cost reduction without hub

ERICSSON

Region Stockholm

Ŧ

TRAFIKVERKET



Final receiver fees

- Small:
- Medium:
- Large:

- 992 kr/month 5700 kr/month
- 18000 kr/month



ITRL – INTEGRATED TRANSPORT RESEARCH LAB



Scenario comparison







ITRL – INTEGRATED TRANSPORT RESEARCH LAB



Conclusions

Region Stockholm

ERICSSON







Lower receiver fee if we include both actors... but it depends on knowledge!



Scenario analysis considering different business models

Thank you for your attention!

TRAFIKVERKET

Ŧ

Claudia Andruetto andru@kth.se

> ITRL – INTEGRATED TRANSPORT RESEARCH LAB